Avicenna Anatolian Journal of Medicine

Review

Mindful Self-Compassion and Clinician Resilience: Managing the Empathy-Burnout Cycle

Authors & Affiliations

Sevde Yılmaz

Department of Psychology, Clinical Psychology Program, Hasan Kalyoncu University, Gaziantep, Türkiye

Corresponding Author: Sevde Yılmaz, M.D., Department of Psychology, Clinical Psychology Program, Hasan Kalyoncu University, Gaziantep, Türkiye
E-mail: sevde715@gmail.com

Submitted at: 23.11.2025 - Accepted at: 12.12.2025 - Published at: 19.12.2025
Avicenna Anatol J Med. Year; 2025, Volume: 2, Issue: 3

doi 10.5281/zenodo.17961583

Abstract

Psychologists experience an occupational conflict that is central to their profession; they are required to be empathetic in order to provide the most effective therapy for patients but are at the same time exposed to increased risk of developing compassion fatigue due to this very same empathetic nature. The purpose of this narrative review was to gather current empirical evidence regarding the processes through which the long-term expression of empathy in clinical relationships can contribute to occupational impairment as well as evaluate whether or not mindful self-compassion could function as a protective factor against compassion fatigue in therapists. Through conducting a systematic review of the literature on the mechanisms by which therapist's expressions of empathy develop into compassion fatigue across multiple professional fields, researchers were able to identify several mechanistic pathways—cognitive intrusion, affective contagion, and boundary erosion—that can facilitate the transformation of therapeutic empathy into compassion fatigue. Additionally, cross sectional and mediation studies demonstrate significant associations between self-compassion and decreased levels of burnout. While preliminary studies have indicated that mindfulness-based interventions using mindful self-compassion, compassion focused therapy, and digital applications have shown promise in decreasing stress and burnout in therapists, there are currently limitations to the breadth of generalizability due to the small sample sizes and lack of longitudinal data. Therefore, if psychologists are to utilize self-compassion as a mechanism to promote sustainability and effectiveness in providing mental health services, it would be beneficial to recognize and integrate self-compassion as a professional competence rather than as an adjunctive self-care activity. Future research will need to utilize both longitudinal study designs and culturally representative samples to further elucidate the causal mechanisms underlying these processes and to develop optimal intervention strategies.

Keywords: Burnout, Professional, Compassion Fatigue, Empathy, Mindfulness, Mental Health Personnel

INTRODUCTION

Empathy is now commonly viewed as an essential skill for mental health practitioners, with substantial research demonstrating that the development of empathy contributes to developing good working relationships with clients and improved treatment outcomes in many different theoretical approaches. Empathy was originally defined by Carl Rogers (1957), as immersing yourself in your client's experience "as if" you were having the same experience, while maintaining distinct self/other boundaries; this concept is theoretically beautiful but very difficult to achieve in actual clinical work, especially when working with trauma-exposed populations (1,2).

Compassion fatigue (CF) has been identified as an occupational hazard that affects a large number of mental health practitioners around the globe. A recent series of epidemiologic studies reported that anywhere from 75% to 100% of practicing psychiatrists are experiencing some level of compassion fatigue at any point in time, 51.8% of the physicians in a study conducted in China reported they experienced severe levels of empathy fatigue and 45.2% of them sometimes thought about resigning their position (4,5). The prevalence of CF among psychologists and social workers have been reported to vary anywhere from 21-67%; 7.7-28.6% of these practitioners also reported serious or significant symptoms of CF. This current review will focus on licensed mental health practitioners who provide direct psychotherapeutic services, which include clinical/counseling psychologists, licensed professional counselors, social workers and psychiatrists who provide psychotherapy.

CF is characterized as a loss of empathic ability and occupational dysfunction, which can be expressed

through a variety of ways, such as emotional exhaustion, cognitive interference, behavioral changes, physical symptoms and a loss of meaning. What is important to recognize is that CF is caused by the combination of prolonged empathic engagement with cumulative trauma exposure and inadequate self-care (6).

Research literature suggests that mindful self-compassion (MSC) may be a coherent theoretical and empirically supported protective factor for therapists. Empathy and compassion are differentially defined based upon their respective dimensions, including the emotional resonance, motivation to help others, and regulation of one's own emotional response. These dimensions specifically address the mechanistic pathways associated with compassion fatigue. Mindfulness reduces intrusive thinking by allowing individuals to view their disturbing thoughts from a perspective; self-kindness restores depleted emotional resources; and common humanity maintains healthy self-other differentiation (7,8). Studies provide evidence supporting that higher levels of self-compassion predict less burnout and moderates the relationship between compassion fatigue and job satisfaction (4,7,8).

Although there exists a substantial amount of literature regarding compassion fatigue, there are limited studies examining self-compassion as a protective mechanism against compassion fatigue, and as such, the study of intervention research using self-compassion as a protective mechanism is still in its infancy. Therefore, this narrative review will focus on addressing two primary questions: 1) What mechanisms facilitate the transition of empathy to compassion fatigue in clinicians? 2) What empirical evidence provides support for the role of mindful self-compassion as a protective factor in promoting occupational resilience?

To answer these questions, we will begin by providing information about compassion fatigue, including prevalence, mechanisms, and consequences to establish the context for evaluating potential interventions. We will then provide an overview of self-compassion theory and the empirical and intervention-based evidence supporting the use of self-compassion to promote occupational resilience. Finally, we will explore strategies for implementing self-compassion in clinical settings, as well as ways to implement self-compassion in the clinical education process. These areas of emphasis reflect current priorities in both research and clinical practice—enhancing the resilience and sustainable engagement of those working in mental health professions.

METHODS

This narrative literature review will combine empirical and theoretical research that examines the relationship between empathy and compassionate fatigue in mental health professionals and how they relate to mindfulness based self-compassion. The review will follow the established guidelines for conducting a narrative synthesis when there is heterogeneity of the studies preventing their meta-analysis.

Search Strategy

A search of the databases, PubMed, PsycINFO, and Web of Science, for peer reviewed, English language articles published between 1 January 2019 and 30 November 2025 were completed using the following search terms alone and in combination:

"Empathy", "Compassionate Engagement", "Compassion", "Compassion Fatigue", "Emotional Fatigue", "Burnout", "Secondary Traumatic Stress" and either "Psychotherapist", "Therapist", "Counselor", or "Mental Health Professional". In addition, "Mindfulness", "Self-Compassion", or "Mindful Self-Compassion" were used as additional search terms. In addition to the search of databases, reference lists of the articles found during the search were manually searched for additional references. Theoretical conceptual works prior to 2019 (e.g., Rogers, 1957; Figley, 2002; Neff, 2003) were also considered in order to provide theoretical context.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria for this review were: empirical studies (quantitative, qualitative, mixed-methods); systematic reviews; meta-analyses; theoretical works that addressed one or more of the following three topics: (1) Empathy as a risk/protective factor for impaired functioning related to occupation; (2) Prevalence, correlates, and consequences of compassion fatigue; and (3) Interventions focused on developing self-compassion and/or associations between self-compassion and clinician well-being. Studies that met the exclusion criteria included: (a) articles focused solely on medical professionals who do not have a clinical role in mental health; (b) studies that did not include any information about therapists but rather only assessed the outcomes of clients; (c) studies that examined empathy in non-clinical settings; (d) studies written in languages other than English; and (e) studies that were only conference abstracts which were not accessible.

Study Selection

The initial search of the databases yielded 87 studies that were potentially relevant to the review. After completing a full-text review of the studies, 45 studies were excluded because: 18 of the studies lacked a sufficient focus on mental health clinicians; 12 of the studies lacked empirical data or provided significant theoretical contributions; 6 studies were written in languages other than English; 5 studies were duplicates; and 4 studies had full texts that could not be accessed. The remaining 42 studies were included in the final corpus.

Since the studies varied significantly in population, design, and measure, a narrative synthesis was chosen to allow for a critical evaluation and thematic organization, while allowing for differences in the quality of the studies and confidence in interpretation. A thematic synthesis was utilized to compare mechanisms, prevalence, correlational findings, results of interventions, and criticisms of measures. When possible, effect sizes were calculated and presented to provide a sense of the magnitude of the observed relationships.

In order to examine various aspects of the relationship between empathy, compassion fatigue, and self-compassion, fundamental theoretical works, mediational and moderation analyses, cross-sectional survey studies, network studies, and intervention studies were included. The review was limited to studies that were published and therefore does not include unpublished theses or dissertations in order to ensure quality and replicability. All of the studies that were included in the review met criteria for peer-review, methodological clarity, and relevance to mental health professionals performing therapeutic roles.

RESULTS

The Empathy-Compassion Fatigue Pathway: Mechanistic Evidence

Empathy has long been considered a foundational competency in the delivery of effective psychotherapy. Therapist empathy is a robust predictor of psychotherapy outcome regardless of the theoretical orientation of the psychotherapist. The therapist-client connection (the therapeutic relationship) can be enhanced through empathic attunement by the therapist to his/her client's distress and emotional experience; which also enables therapists to enhance their client's achievement of goals. Furthermore, this creates an environment of open communication and trust between the therapist and the client, both of which are essential for providing psychotherapy effectively.

As the capacities of a psychotherapist that allow him/her to establish an empathetic connection with the client and deliver empathically attuned care, these same capacities may evolve into liabilities for the clinician. The Compassion Fatigue (CF) model proposed by Figley (2002) illustrates how sustained empathic engagement by the clinician with a client's traumatic experiences, would negatively affect the clinician's ability to emotionally respond to the traumatic material presented to them, thus increasing the potential for the clinician to develop CF (9).

Turgoose and Maddox have described CF as occurring when the clinicians' vicarious emotional response to a client's distress becomes indistinguishable from their own emotional response to the client's distress. The inability to maintain a "metacognitive 'as if,'" or the ability to experience a client's reality without being absorbed into the client's reality marks a critical point in the evolution from therapeutic empathy to empathic distress.

The mechanisms by which sustained empathic engagement leads to occupational impairment and compassion fatigue are complex and multifactorial. Contemporary models of CF propose three overlapping and interdependent pathways through which sustained empathic engagement contributes to occupational impairment and CF.

Pathway 1: Affective Contagion and Emotional Dysregulation. Neurobiologically mediated processes facilitate emotional resonance between clinicians and their clients. Through repeated exposure to clients' emotional states, clinicians' regulatory systems become depleted of the energy required to modulate their own emotional responses. Consequently, clinicians experience emotional exhaustion and are less capable of responding empathically to future clients (10,11).

Pathway 2: Boundary Erosion and Self-Other Confusion. Prolonged empathic engagement with clients' traumatic experiences erodes the metacognitive "as if" stance, leading to confusion about the nature of self and other. Clinicians become less capable of distinguishing between their own emotional responses and those of their clients, and thus lose clarity about their roles and responsibilities as a clinician (10,12). This confusion leads to a blurring of boundaries between self and other, resulting in the clinician's personalization of client trauma and loss of professional perspective.

Pathway 3: Cognitive Intrusion and Secondary Traumatic Stress. Traumatic content from clinical encounters becomes intrusive and cognitively salient outside of session hours, evoking PTSD-like symptomatology in clinicians (e.g., hypervigilance, avoidance, emotional numbing, and re-experiencing phenomena) despite the fact that they have not been directly exposed to the traumatic event (13).

The three pathways demonstrate how clinician's cognitive and affective regulation processes deteriorate due to repeated empathetic involvement, and further show how the reciprocal magnifying effect occurs by: affective dysregulation impacting clinician's ability to establish an appropriate distinction between themselves and another, and the erosion of boundaries increasing clinician's susceptibility to cognitive intrusion; and, cognitive intrusion exacerbating affective dysregulation.

The reciprocal magnifying effect explains why CF typically develops rapidly into a total collapse condition unless direct interventions are implemented to stop the process. Empathy is demonstrated to be a personality characteristic that is systematically linked to CF through systematic reviews, but does not appear to cause CF alone. However, when combined with other factors such as: previous history of traumatic events; extended exposure to traumatic events of others; and self-focused attention to pain, the risk for developing CF is dramatically increased (5,7,14).

Studies done with Greek mental health professionals have shown that empathy correlates positively with burnout and studies conducted with mental health professionals from the United States have used regression analyses to confirm that empathy predicts burnout.

Functional Domains of Compassion Fatigue

Seven functional domains comprise the multidimensional construct of compassion fatigue. Impairment in one domain commonly cascades to impairment in others. The reviewed literature demonstrates how CF manifests across emotional, behavioral, spiritual, physical, relational, cognitive, and therapeutic engagement capacities.

In the emotional domain, clinicians exhibit diminished empathic and sympathetic capacity, emotional numbing, restricted affect, increased cynicism and negativity, intrusive thoughts regarding client trauma, difficulty concentrating, impaired clinical decision-making, and reduced cognitive flexibility in treatment planning.

Behaviorally and occupationally, clinicians exhibit irritability and anger dysregulation, elevated absenteeism, maladaptive coping mechanisms (e.g., substance use, avoidance behaviors), compromised clinical judgment and treatment fidelity, reduced job satisfaction, and increased turnover intention. Among physicians experiencing empathy fatigue, 45.2% reported occasional resignation consideration, with 30.2% reporting frequent consideration (14,15-17).

Spiritually and existentially, clinicians exhibit loss of meaning and purpose in therapeutic work, diminished contribution sense, and existential questioning of professional identity. Physically, clinicians exhibit flu-like symptoms, gastrointestinal disturbances, and other somatic complaints. Relationally, clinicians exhibit difficulties in forming and maintaining therapeutic relationships, difficulty establishing and maintaining social connections with peers, and strained interpersonal relationships.

The reduction in therapeutic engagement capacity is arguably the most clinically significant consequence of compassion fatigue. Emotional distancing serves as a protective withdrawal from excessive empathic burden. When clinicians approach their work with detachment and depersonalization, clients become interchangeable cases rather than unique individuals.

Mindful Self-Compassion: Theoretical Framework and Protective Mechanisms

Self-compassion systematically counteracts the mechanistic pathways that lead to compassion fatigue through empathy. Specifically, mindfulness based present moment awareness interrupts the cognitive intrusion pathway for the client by providing the therapist with a metacognitive perspective on their own distressing thoughts, allowing the therapist to remain engaged with the client without becoming overly identified or avoidant. In addition, self-kindness provides a means for replenishing depleted emotional reserves in the therapist and therefore reducing the affective contagion effects experienced as a result of the client's emotional state (18). Finally, common humanity provides a means for reducing boundary erosion for the therapist by framing the empathic strain experienced as a result of working with clients as a normal professional challenge rather than a personal failing.

Together, the three components of mindful self-compassion allow therapists to establish a sustainable therapeutic presence creating synergistic effects that may occur independently of each other.

Correlational Evidence: Self-Compassion and Occupational Well-Being

Correlation studies support a positive association between self-compassion and less occupational distress. Regression studies, specifically conducted in the United States among U.S. Mental Health Practitioners, demonstrated a relationship between Self-Compassion Scale (SCS) scores and Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT) scores. An additional important finding is from a study conducted with Turkish Mental Health Professionals, where self-compassion had a strong inverse correlation with Compassion Fatigue (r=-0.68), which accounted for about 46% of the variation in Compassion Fatigue Scores (16,19).

In addition to being a protective agent, research suggests that self-compassion can also be a moderator for occupational wellness. Moderation studies found that self-compassion moderated the relationship between Compassion Fatigue and Job Satisfaction; this implies that clinicians who possess higher levels of self-compassion will have increased job satisfaction, even when they are experiencing symptoms of compassion fatigue (20). The moderating role of self-compassion provides further insight into how it may assist in developing clinician resilience by mitigating the adverse impact of empathic strain on professional satisfaction and engagement.

Studies utilizing network analytic techniques have shown that self-compassion is not merely an isolated protective agent, but rather serves as a central node within a network of various pathways to wellness (e.g., emotion regulation, social connection, meaning-making, and adaptive coping). The network positioning of self-compassion further supports the notion that interventions designed to promote self-compassion will have a cascade effect, positively impacting other dimensions of clinician wellness.

Intervention Studies: Evidence and Limitations

Some initial research is now being conducted to assess the feasibility and impact of mindfulness based and compassion focused interventions to improve burn out and enhance resilience in mental health workers. Initial studies are using digital self-compassion interventions which require minimal time commitment each day (5 – 10 minutes), and these studies have shown some potential in helping reduce stress and other indicators of therapist distress (21). Studies examining traditional mindfulness based interventions using self-compassion techniques typically involve an 8-12 week treatment and have been able to demonstrate some positive outcomes in terms of decreasing occupational stress in various healthcare workers (12,14,16,19). However, the amount of research that has examined intervention strategies for improving burnout and increasing resilience in mental health workers is still very limited, and there are many limitations in the current research base that limit our ability to draw definitive conclusions about the benefits of specific interventions. Many of the samples used in previous intervention studies have been relatively small (e.g., n = 20 – 50) which limits the statistical power to determine if the observed effects are real or simply due to chance, and also limits the extent to which we can

generalize findings to different populations of interest (22). The control conditions used in intervention studies have also varied widely (e.g., waitlist control vs. an active comparison condition) making it challenging to compare findings across studies. Finally, when researchers have attempted to synthesize the results of multiple studies using meta-analysis they find that the variability in study findings is quite large (i.e., substantial heterogeneity in effect size estimates) suggesting that the effectiveness of different interventions may be influenced by numerous factors that have yet to be measured or controlled in prior studies (e.g., intervention format, duration, dose, level of training of the facilitator; demographic characteristics of participants; setting in which the intervention takes place).

Graduate training programs in both Clinical Psychology and Counseling Psychology have initiated the inclusion of self-compassion training as part of their core curricula. Preliminary research suggests that graduate students who receive self-compassion training report lower levels of burn-out while participating in their clinical practicum (23). A recent expansion of the Self-Compassion in Psychotherapy (SCIP) program included a 12 week core curriculum followed by a 10 week practicum (Center for Mindful Self-Compassion, 2024). Although the SCIP program represents a comprehensive approach to providing self-compassion training to mental health professionals-in-training, limited empirical evidence currently exists to support the effectiveness of this program (20,23).

DISCUSSION

The synthesized evidence illustrates a major occupational paradox: the ability to be empathic is essential for delivering effective therapy yet it is also a major risk for compassion fatigue if self-care techniques are not employed continuously.

Three theoretical contributions emerge from this synthesis. First, the transition from therapeutic empathy to compassion fatigue occurs via at least three inter-related pathways (affective contagion, boundary erosion, cognitive intrusion) rather than through simple exposure to empathic demands (3,7,9,11,24). The mechanistic specificity illustrated here carries implications for how CF can be prevented through the use of interventions designed to address each of the three pathways rather than just addressing emotional exhaustion or trauma exposure (24).

Second, the synthesis reveals a more detailed version of the distinction between empathy and compassion than previously articulated. Soto-Rubio and Sinclair (2018) stated that compassion includes empathy, motivation and self-regulation (25). The current synthesis demonstrates that self-compassion uniquely enhances regulatory capacity and allows individuals to move away from the potential depletion of empathy to a more sustainable form of compassionate engagement. Therefore, it would appear that training approaches that enhance empathic sensitivity without also teaching regulatory skills may paradoxically increase the risk of compassion fatigue.

Third, recent network analyses illustrate that self-compassion does not operate as an isolated protective factor but as a central hub that connects multiple pathways to well-being including emotion regulation, social connection, meaning-making and adaptive coping (22). This network positioning suggests that self-compassion interventions may produce a broader spectrum of benefits relative to interventions that target isolated mechanisms.

There exists a substantial body of correlational research that consistently demonstrates a strong negative relationship between self-compassion and occupational impairment. The effect sizes are substantial. For example, $r = -0.68$ between self-compassion and compassion fatigue represents a large effect that accounts for approximately 46% of the variance in compassion fatigue. Self-compassion also significantly predicted lower burnout ($p < 0.001$) and moderated the relationships between compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction, providing additional convergent evidence for the protective effects of self-compassion (26).

Interpretative cautions need to be acknowledged explicitly. It remains possible that while self-compassion prevents burnout, burnout may also negatively affect self-compassion, or there could be one or more unmeasured third variables (e.g., secure attachment, trait resilience) that positively affect both (27).

Limited longitudinal research is available to provide preliminary support for the directional protective effects of self-compassion. However, multiple assessment wave longitudinal research designs are required to definitively establish causality in this relationship.

The intervention evidence, while promising, remains relatively nascent. Sample sizes in most intervention research conducted in this area are typically small ($n = 20–50$). In addition, the control conditions used in the various studies examined herein were quite variable, resulting in the inability to make between-study comparisons (28). Heterogeneous findings and substantial variability in effect sizes observed in meta-analysis of self-compassion interventions suggest that the effectiveness of self-compassion interventions may be dependent on unmeasured moderators (e.g., intervention format, dosage, facilitator training, participant characteristics, implementation context).

While the methodological limitations of the existing research exist, the pattern of consistent cross-sectional associations, theoretical coherence, and preliminary evidence supporting the effectiveness of self-compassion interventions supports the possibility of recommending self-compassion practices for practicing clinicians. Self-compassion interventions appear to be a viable means of protecting practicing clinicians from compassion fatigue risk through mechanisms that are theoretically aligned with those identified in the empathy-to-burnout pathways (29).

The conclusions drawn from the reviewed literature should be interpreted within several methodological constraints. The majority of the research in this area utilized cross-sectional designs and, therefore, precludes causal inferences about the relationships between self-compassion and occupational well-being (30). Longitudinal research designs utilizing multiple assessment waves to assess temporal precedence are severely limited and represent a critical research priority.

The findings from the aggregated samples may not uniformly apply to practicing psychotherapists. Furthermore, the samples in this area predominantly come from Western cultures, with limited representation of non-Western cultures where self-compassion may operate in varying ways due to differences in self-construal and emotional expression norms.

Limitations in measurement design compromise confidence in the findings reported in this area. The ProQOL scale has psychometric limitations, including factor loading problems and questions of discriminant validity. Approximately 90% of the burnout research utilizes the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) which has been subject to criticisms related to its conceptual validity and psychometric stability. The exclusive use of self-report measures introduces social desirability bias, especially relevant given professional stigma surrounding vulnerabilities.

The randomized controlled trials that investigated self-compassion interventions had small samples and therefore limited statistical power. The control conditions varied greatly across studies, creating difficulty in comparing results between studies. The heterogeneity in effect sizes of self-compassion interventions suggests that effectiveness may be dependent on unaccounted moderators (e.g., optimal intervention components, delivery formats, dosage parameters, maintenance strategies).

The knowledge of the mechanisms underlying self-compassion remains poorly developed. Very few rigorously designed studies have tested the proposed mechanisms that describe how empathy leads to compassion fatigue through the three pathways and how self-compassion may interrupt these pathways. Additional studies using longitudinal designs to assess the proposed mediators and conduct formal mediation analyses with bootstrapped confidence intervals are needed to better understand the mechanisms underlying the relationship between self-compassion and compassion fatigue.

Publication bias likely exists in the evidence base examined in this paper. There is a lack of publication of research that yields null or negative findings for self-compassion interventions, potentially leading to inflated estimates of intervention effectiveness.

Based on the evidence reviewed, there are several pathways for the inclusion of self-compassion in professional practice. However, the implementation of self-compassion interventions should be contextualized to the institutional setting and professional development model of the practitioner.

Clinical supervision protocols have started to include attention to the therapist's emotional experiences. Clinical supervision models that emphasize parallel process and countertransference can provide space for discussions of self-compassion. The organizational level of functioning will be critical in determining the effectiveness of self-compassion interventions. Network analyses have found that individual resilience factors, including self-compassion, provide protective benefits; however, the quality of working conditions (including adequate staffing, administrative support quality and collegial relationships) are highly influential of burnout outcomes.

Therefore, self-compassion interventions cannot replace systemic changes in working conditions; the two factors work together to impact clinician well-being. Healthcare organizations implementing compassion-based programs must concurrently address systemic issues, including caseload management, protected time for professional development, and leadership modeling of sustainable practice patterns. Comparative effectiveness research to evaluate the relative effectiveness of digital versus in-person delivery formats, optimal intervention durations, and strategies to enhance adherence remain limited.

CONCLUSION

This paper synthesizes the current evidence demonstrating that sustained empathic engagement, particularly when combined with exposure to traumatic events and lack of self-care, results in compassion fatigue in a significant number of mental health clinicians. Although there are numerous methodological limitations to the current research, the inclusion of self-compassion training into graduate education, clinical supervision, and organizational policy appears to be essential for maintaining long-term clinician well-being. Translating these findings into practice elevates self-compassion from being a viable wellness strategy for clinicians to being a crucial component of professional competence. Ongoing research using longitudinal designs to evaluate the temporal precedence of the relationships between empathy, burnout, and self-compassion, as well as culturally sensitive implementation of self-compassion training, will be important for elucidating the pathways that link these constructs, and ultimately, enhancing both clinician well-being and the quality of care provided in mental health services.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics Committee Approval: Since this study utilized publicly available literature, approval from the institutional review board was not obtained.
Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.
Author Contributions: All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper, and that they have approved the

final version.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Informed Consent Form: Not Available

Funding Source: No funding was received for the research.

Artificial Intelligence: Artificial intelligence is utilized in this paper.

NOTE: This study was presented at V. International Siirt Conference OnScientific Research Siirt University, Siirt, Türkiye: November 28-30, 2025.

REFERENCES

1. WBack AL, Deignan PF, Potter PA. Compassion, compassion fatigue, and burnout: key insights for oncology professionals. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2014;34:e454-e459. doi:10.14694/edbook_am.2014.34.e454
2. Baumeister RF, Leary MR. Writing narrative literature reviews. Rev Gen Psychol. 1997;1(3):311-320. doi:10.1037/1089-2680.1.3.311
3. Beaumont E, Durkin M, Hollins Martin CJ, Carson J. Measuring relationships between self-compassion, compassion fatigue, burnout and well-being in student counsellors and student cognitive behavioural psychotherapists: a quantitative survey. Couns Psychother Res. 2016;16(1):15-23. doi:10.1002/capr.12054
4. Bhugra D, Ventriglio A. Compassion fatigue and healthcare professionals: challenges. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2024;70(6):1009-1010. doi:10.1177/00207640241283097
5. Center for Mindful Self-Compassion. Self-Compassion in Psychotherapy (SCIP) 2024. Published 2024. Accessed November 16, 2025. https://centerformsc.org/collections/self-compassion-in-psychotherapy-scip-2024
6. Conversano C, Ciacchini R, Orrù G, Di Giuseppe M, Gemignani A, Poli A. Mindfulness, compassion, and self-compassion among health care professionals: what's new? a systematic review. Front Psychol. 2020;11:1683. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01683
7. Crego A, Yela JR, Riesco-Matías P, Gómez-Martínez MÁ, Vicente-Arruebarrena A. The benefits of self-compassion in mental health professionals: a systematic review of empirical research. Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2022;15:2599-2620. doi:10.2147/PRBM.S359382
8. Elliott R, Bohart AC, Watson JC, Greenberg LS. Empathy. Psychotherapy (Chic). 2011;48(1):43-49. doi:10.1037/a0022187
9. Figley CR. Compassion fatigue: psychotherapists' chronic lack of self-care. J Clin Psychol. 2002;58(11):1433-1441. doi:10.1002/jclp.10090
10. Hashem Z, Zeinoun P. Self-compassion explains the relationship between burnout and compassion fatigue among mental health professionals. Curr Psychol. 2023;42:15628–15639. doi:10.1007/s12144-022-02875-1
11. Hotchkiss JT, Wong CMY. Truth on ProQOL and burnout assessment—development and intercultural validation of the Brief ProQOL-12 from the Professional Quality of Life, Version 5. Am J Hosp Palliat Care. Published online June 7, 2024. doi:10.1177/10499091241260284
12. Jaiswal S, Purpura SR, Manchanda JK, et al. Design and implementation of a brief digital mindfulness and compassion training app for health care professionals: cluster randomized controlled trial. JMIR Ment Health. 2024;11:e49467. doi:10.2196/49467
13. Klimecki O, Singer T. Empathic distress fatigue rather than compassion fatigue? integrating findings from empathy research in psychology and social neuroscience. In: Oakley B, Knafo A, Madhavan G, Wilson DS, eds. Pathological Altruism. Oxford University Press; 2011:368-383. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199738571.003.0025
14. Kounenou K, Kalamatianos A, Nikoltsiou P. The interplay among empathy, vicarious trauma, and burnout in Greek mental health practitioners. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023;20(4):3503. doi:10.3390/ijerph20043503
15. Lipsa JM, Rajkumar E, Gopi A, et al. Effectiveness of psychological interventions for compassion fatigue: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Occup Health. 2024;66(1):uiae061. doi:10.1093/joccuh/uiae061
16. Lyon TR, Galbraith A, Doty S, Brinsley J. Mindful self-compassion as an antidote to burnout for mental health practitioners. Healthcare (Basel). 2023;11(20):2715. doi:10.3390/healthcare11202715
17. Matos M, Duarte J, Duarte C, et al. Psychological and physiological effects of the compassionate mind training intervention for health care professionals. Mindfulness. 2022;13:1777–1793. doi:10.1007/s12671-022-01917-2
18. Neff KD. Self-compassion: an alternative conceptualization of a healthy attitude toward oneself. Self Identity. 2003;2(2):85-101. doi:10.1080/15298860309032
19. Neff KD, Germer CK. Mindful Self-Compassion for Burnout: Tools to Help You Heal and Recharge When You're Wrung Out by Stress. Guilford Press; 2024.
20. Noor AM, Suryana D, Kamarudin EME, Naidu NBM, Kamsani SR, Govindasamy P. Compassion fatigue in helping professions: a scoping literature review. BMC Psychol. 2024;12(1):349. doi:10.1186/s40359-024-01869-5
21. Pank C, von Boros L, Lieb K, et al. The role of self-care and self-compassion in networks of resilience and stress among healthcare professionals. Sci Rep. 2025;15(1):18545. doi:10.1038/s41598-025-01111-1
22. Papadimitriou A, Karakasidou E, Stalikas A. The role of self-compassion in the relationship between empathy and compassion fatigue in counseling psychologists. Eur J Couns Psychol. 2023. doi:10.46853/001c.88375
23. Patsiopoulos AT, Buchanan MJ. The practice of self-compassion in counseling: a narrative inquiry. Prof Psychol Res Pr. 2011;42(4):301-307. doi:10.1037/a0024482
24. Rogers CR. The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic personality change. J Consult Psychol. 1957;21(2):95-103. doi:10.1037/h0045357
25. Schaufeli WB, Desart S, De Witte H. Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT)—development, validity, and reliability. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(24):9495. doi:10.3390/ijerph17249495
26. Siegel RD, Germer CK. Wisdom and Compassion in Psychotherapy: Deepening Mindfulness in Clinical Practice. Guilford Press; 2012.
27. Sinclair S, Norris JM, McConnell SJ, et al. Compassion: a scoping review of the healthcare literature. BMC Palliat Care. 2016;15:6. doi:10.1186/s12904-016-0080-0
28. Sinclair S, Beamer K, Hack TF, et al. In defense of sympathy, in consideration of empathy, and in praise of compassion: a history of the present. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2017;53(5):1428-1434. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.12.478
29. Turgoose D, Maddox L. Predictors of compassion fatigue in mental health professionals: a narrative review. Traumatology. 2017;23(2):172-185. doi:10.1037/trm0000116
30. Ye Q, Zhong X, Zhou Q, Liu H, Li G. Empathy fatigue among physicians and its influencing factors: a cross-sectional survey from Southwest China. BMC Psychiatry. 2024;24(1):780. doi:10.1186/s12888-024-06217-w